Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Applicant(s)	
MAEDA ET AL.	
Art Unit	
1793	
	MAEDA ET AL. Art Unit

	JIE YANG	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 May 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
periods: a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externation Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed water MAMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
 NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	:		·
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-5,7-9 and 13-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 11,12 and 17-19.		l be entered and an e	xplanation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet. 		•	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: form 892 is attached.	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/ Roy King/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1793	/JieYang/		

Continuation of 7. Claims 6, 10, and 16 have been cancelled; claim 1 is amended; claims 11, 12, and 17-19 are withdrawn from consideration as non-elected claims; and claims 1-5, 7-9, and 13-15 remains for examination.

Previous rejection of claim 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) is withdrawn since claim 16 has been cancelled.

Previous rejections of claims 1-5, 7-9 and, 13-15 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are still maintained. The detail rejections can refer to the previous office action marked 2/16/2010. A new evidence reference Pitolaj (US 4,913,951, thereafter US 951) is introduced for the rejections. Regarding the newly added limitation of in said quenching, a pressing pressure by said molds is at least 2.94 N/cm^2 in the instant claim 1, JP'214 teaches the molded article cools simultaneously with fabrication using a metallic mold to a refrigerant, and the process is perforemd in the state where it pinched as it is. Therefore, the distortion generated by rapid cooling processing can be prevented. (Paragraph [0010] of JP'214). As pointed out in the previous office action in the rejection for claim 16, the pressing pressure is recognized as a result-effective variable in term of the mold pressing result, which depends on materials, heat temperature, and dimension of working piece, JP'214 teaches the same molding-heat, quenching, tempering processing on the similar carbon steel as recited in the instant invention. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the pressing pressure, for example at least 2.94 N/cm² as claimed in the instant claim in order to obtain the desired working pieces. This possition is further evidenced by Pitolaj (US 4,913,951, thereafter US'951). US'951 teaches a method to fabricate a reinforced PTFE gasketing material by pressing forming method (Abstract of US'951), which includes stainless steel layer (Abstract and Fig.2-3 of US'951). US'951 teaches appying different pressure during heating and cooling on the forming mold (Fig.2-6, Table I and Col.6, line 41 to Col.8, line 8 of US'951), the pressure of 600 PSI or more taught by US'951 is within the pressure range of at least 2.94 N/cm^2 as recited in the instant claim. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to apply proper pressure, for example at least 2.94 N/cm² as evidenced by US'951 in the process of JP'214 in view of US'227 in oder to obtain a desired press forming articles (Abstract of US'951).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant arguers:

The JP'214 does not teach the claimed pressing pressure at least 2.94 N/cm² of the instant claim 1. The Examiner did not provide any evidential support showing any relationship among the temperature in the heat treatment, the material and dimension of the work piece, and the pressing pressure in the quenching process. In response:

JP'214 teaches the molded article cools simultaneously with fabrication using a metallic mold to a refrigerant, and the process is perforemd in the state where it pinched as it is. Therefore, the distortion generated by rapid cooling processing can be prevented. (Paragraph [0010] of JP'214). Although JP'214 does not specify the pressing pressure at least 2.94N/cm^2, but adjusting pressing pressure during pressing forming in order to prevent distortion is obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art. This position is further evidenced by US'951 as discussed above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jie Yang whose telephone number is 571-2701884. The examiner can normally be reached on IFP.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-2721244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.